

**Table as at February 2022**

Title Of the Scrutiny Review	Review Type	Date added to the scoring table	Membership	Is the topic related to a priority or value within the Council's Corporate Plan?	Is the topic of high public concern?	Is the topic currently under performing as per the Council's quarterly performance monitoring?	Will the topic result in recommendations that save that Council money or generate income?	Time on the list?	Implications for officer resource allocation	Total	Rank	Completion Status
<b>Coastal waste clearance:</b> How does Thanet ensure that its coastal promenades and beaches are kept clear of rubbish and in the best condition for both residents and visitors?	B	14/8/20	TBC	10	20	20	10	0	10	70	1st	Coastal Waste Scrutiny Review Working Party was set up on 26 August. The WP met on 06 October and agreed on a work programme for conducting their assignment. WP held 2 more meetings to gather evidence from council officers in Operational Services and the Cabinet Member for Environmental Services & Special Projects. WP met parish and town council reps on 4 November and two officers from the Tourism department on 10 November and volunteer litter groups on 15 November. The meeting with business representatives that was scheduled for 24 November was cancelled due to poor response to the invitation.
<b>Replacement bins for litter/dog waste:</b> What is the council's reasoning behind complete removal of damaged bins and not replacing them?	A	14/8/20	TBC	10	20	-	10	0	20	60	2nd	This topic is being covered together with the coastal waste items above.
<b>Empty Properties:</b> Why does Thanet have the highest number of empty properties in Kent and what approaches can be used to put these properties to use in a timely manner?	A	14/8/20	TBC	10	10	0	10	0	20	50	=3rd	
<b>Managing anti-social behaviour on Thanet beaches:</b> Are beach inspectors	B	14/8/20	TBC	10	20	-	10	0	10	50	=3rd	

the best way to control or manage beach behaviour?												
<b>Private rented sector:</b> How does TDC regulate private landlords and letting agencies in Thanet?	B	14/8/20	TBC	10	10	-	10	0	10	40	=5th	
<b>Weed killer usage:</b> What is the best approach for managing grass and hedges in public open spaces in the district that can be used to replace the use of weed killers?	B	14/8/20	TBC	10	10	-	10	0	10	40	=5th	
<b>Camper Vehicles being parked on the street for too long:</b> What is the impact of parked Camper vans on the Thanet roads and can this be regulated by Thanet District Council?	B	14/8/20	TBC	10	10	-	10	0	10	40	=5th	
<b>Promenades</b> - safety concerns cyclists speeding and sharing the footpath: Would a dedicated cycle path (or markings) along the promenade help control bike/pedestrian placement (and cyclist speed)?	B	14/8/20	TBC	10	20	-	0	0	10	40	=5th	
<b>Statues and Blue Plaques:</b> What would be the best approach for managing the discussion on and review of suitability of statues and plaques in the district?	B	14/8/20	TBC	10	20	-	0	0	10	40	=5th	Panel received three update reports via the Memorials Working Group Chair. Panel agreed on 20 April to keep this activity in abeyance until after new legislation national monuments and heritage was enacted.
<b>Water user group regulation:</b> What role does TDC have in ensuring the safety of swimmers and other water users from the behaviour of boat and jet ski users in Thanet bays?	C	14/8/20	TBC	10	20	-	0	0	0	30	=10th	
<b>Rough Sleepers:</b> what are we doing about this as a long term plan of addressing the issue (post COVID-19)?	C	14/8/20	TBC	10	10	0	10	0	0	30	=10th	
<b>Modern Slavery:</b> - hand car washes. Is there any intervention the council can do to address the issue of modern slavery?	C	14/8/20	TBC	10	10	-	0	0	0	20	=12th	Kent Police to make a presentation on 18 January 2022 after which the Panel would decide if there is further action required with this topic.
<b>Shellfish collection enforcement:</b> How is the collection of shellfish from Thanet beaches regulated and how can enforcement be best managed?	C	14/8/20	TBC	10	10	-	0	0	0	20	=12th	

A:1 Day – 4 weeks Review: **limited officer resource allocations required** for a successful review

B:More than 4 weeks and up to 3 months – **significant officer resource allocations required** for a successful review

C:More than 3 months: **very significant officer resource allocation required** for a successful review

### Completed Reviews

Title Of the Scrutiny Review	Review Type	Date added to the scoring table	Membership	Is the topic related to a priority or value within the Council's Corporate Plan?	Is the topic of high public concern?	Is the topic currently under-performing as per the Council's quarterly performance monitoring?	Will the topic result in recommendations that save that Council money or generate income?	Time on the list?	Implications for officer resource allocation	Total	Rank	Completion Status
<b>Street Scene:</b> Abandoned vehicles - how can TDC speed up time taken to clear abandoned vehicles?	B	14/8/20	TBC	10	10	-	10	0	10	40	=5th	officer report considered on 18 Feb 2021 and the Panel confirming the recommendation that Communications could be strengthened on the council's process to inform residents of the procedures and timescales that the council was confined to under the legislation. <b>review completed.</b>
<b>Selective Licensing</b> - Is selective licensing the best way forward for the improvement of the privately rented sector Thanet and if so, could it be replicated in other areas of the district?	C	14/8/20	TBC	10	10	-	20	0	0	40	=5th	officer report considered. <b>review completed.</b>
<b>Planning enforcement in the district is slow:</b> Why is the planning enforcement process seemingly so slow in Thanet and how can it be made more efficient?	C	14/8/20	TBC	10	10	-	20	0	0	40	=5th	officer report considered. Further scrutiny proposals being considered. A Planning Enforcement Review Working Party was set up on 20 April 2021

